

FILED 07 FEB 21 12:55 USDC-ORM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JEAN HOUSTON,)	
)	Civ. No. 05-3092-CO
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
SHERATON CENTRO, et al.,)	ORDER
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Findings and Recommendation.

- ORDER

I have, therefore, given this matter de novo review. I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Cooney.

CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation (#44) is adopted. Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint (#20) is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21 day of February, 2007.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen M. Panner", is written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE